

Q1. Do you have concerns for staying in the current location?

Responses

More parking space and raising enough money to complete needed projects

Yes. The proposed remodel fixes an old building but does little to expand capacity for parish gatherings, which is sorely needed to help maintain/build community in a changing church membership. I am uncertain whether the proposed remodel would be of much benefit to religious education rooms/spaces. Parking will still be inadequate even with several additional spaces, and still would mean a slippery walk across the street in ice/snow.

Maintenance of an aging building.

yes - out of date and expensive

parking

yes, not enough room, many Yes, many -- maintenance issues, major expense updating to current codes

No

Yes With necessary maintenance, will take care of issues.

no

parking especially during big events at wsu, accessibility

NO

Parking issues, accessibility to church without entering in alley and accessibility to basement without going outside, small size/seating capacity in main area

NO

Yes

Yes, we have significant concerns. 1) The proposed plan does not address parking. And there really is no good way to fix the parking. 2) Our church is approaching 100 years old. Even if we try to upgrade it, there is only so much we can accomplish. 3) We are not convinced that all the proposed projects will really be completed. We think that at best it will piecemeal, but ultimately the will and energy to complete the work will ebb. 4) The current campus does not allow for the type of growth that will infuse energy into our parish. We have no room to grow. Our parish hall is too small. Ultimately, staying - to us - reflects a slow decline that sort of feels like our church is dying.

it's fine . A good re-design could make it work

no; current location is preferred

No

Limited opportunity for future growth

Limited. We have to make updates to the facility

I do have concerns about staying-I worry that even with the proposed plan for the classrooms, that they will not be safe enough and that there are not enough of them to accommodate all the classes we need or will need in the future. I am also worried that the proposed renovation does not have plans for an expanded social hall. I am also concerned that parking is going to remain an issue. Even using the land where the offices currently are, we will not gain enough parking to really alleviate the issues we have. Even with all of the renovations of the restrooms, we still will not have enough restrooms to allow us to host VBS or other larger programs. I understand that by completing these projects in phases, we don't have to have all the money up front but it will be easy to push off the changes and upgrades so that they won't be completed in a timely fashion.

no concerns--it has been my home for 62 yrs

accessible.

No

No. A beautiful environment.

i have no concern. I love the Church where it is.

NO - I don't have any concerns about staying in the current location. With the revised building assessment and the cost of repairs - staying in the current location is actually a very good option. Maybe there could be an opportunity to expand the social hall and to improve the kitchen to allow for more and larger gatherings. Parking and the office building provide opportunities for improvement - but it seems like those are things we can figure out how to overcome. This parish has been in this location for 90+ years and maybe there is a reason that we are supposed to be in this location. Maybe the church is a stabilizing force to the neighborhood and it is good for us to stay. It does seem that all of the challenges/concerns that have been brought forward over the past many years can be addressed appropriately and with a reasonable cost.

Deferred maintenance and safety concerns

I would prefer to stay in the current location, especially when the other location is a secret.

None of any substance. Most would be logistical in carrying out a renovation project, but with good planning, these can be satisfactorily addressed over time.

Accessibility for those with mobility challenges is quite bad and I'm concerned we can not improve that much.

No

No

It will need a lot of work.

The general condition of the building. Can the current systems really be brought up to present code in a cost effective manner? It is my understanding that the walls of the church itself consist of two layers of brick with no insulation. How can that be addressed without changing the character of the church? Can other structural changes really be made for a reasonable cost?

Believe it's the prudent choice

Yes, it doesn't meet the needs for older members using walkers or wheelchairs. Dropping them off at the back entrance is hard. If they can't walk far, or for very long periods, the social hall is out. There is only 1 handicap parking space.

Neighbor hood and very limited space and outdoor space.

Absolutely NO concerns. Having said that, we need to have regular building maintenance completed in a timely manner and updates performed. This has been neglected and needs to be done soon. Urgent needs: a.Church access for elderly and disabled people. i.Add disability parking spaces on Maple St. next to the church (Grants from ADA might be available).

I am concerned that if we stay where we are, we are limited in our ability to gather as a church family outside of Mass. Our ability to gather is significantly limited by our current social hall. parking may also continue to be an issues.

No

I don't think we are going to solve parking at our current location. I also worry that we are not going to solve the classroom problem. Even if we can get the current classrooms up to the point they are safe, and somehow make them accessible for all, we really need at least two more classrooms so Preschool and Kindergarten are able to meet at the same time as the other children. I really don't like that they cannot participate in Mass with the current set up. I think the social hall needs to be expanded but it is a big expense. We need to be able to gather together as a church family. I also don't love being in the middle of college hill.

During youth events I have had to chase off inebriated college students trying to wander into basketball/football games on the lawn. I have had neighbors across the street "cat call" the highschool ladies as they walk out to the parking lot. The solution in the past has been to keep the youth inside as much as possible but the ball games are a big draw for the young men. We don't need to be on college hill. It would be better for us to work with St. Thomas More to continue college outreach/ministry.

for preparation and food storage. Outdoor room for youth gathering to play games such as volleyball ball. Classrooms with easy egress is needed.

congestion and insufficient parking

No

Yes

Expensive fixes to structure and safety issues.

Constant Infrastructure upgrades, access, and inflation.

Yes

No, other than making necessary upgrades to the properties

Yes, there are no options to expand beyond the property the church presently owns. Even if we fix the present problems with the building, we will likely face continuing issues to address in the future given the age of the building.

Yes, parking and size of the Sanctuary are always going to be a issue for the parish at the current location

yes! Safety and limited potential for encouraging youth attendance

Yes. Parking, noisy neighborhood, expensive repairs.

parking.

Yes- handicap accessibility.

Yes

Yes

hall.

Yes, Poor facilities and accessibility cannot be overcome. Urge not to invest more funds in current site.

No

Yes and no. I worry about the amount of renovations we need to be fully accessible and have a large gathering space. BUT, i also believe we can rally the community to raise funds to tend to those needs -- perhaps look into ada matching grants for places of worship. Also the basement of the parish house is a disaster -- it needs to be on the list...

No

No, I don't have issue with staying in the current location.

Yes

Location is good. Facilities could use some rehab.

No

some

no

YES, our current location does not have enough space to expand for future growth of the Church facilities, even with the proposals made. I am reminded of the numerous people who have patched, fixed, and made do over my 39 years here and we owe it to them & especially the future generations to make this leap of faith to move to a new location!

Yes

Yes, we will never fully fix all of the issues. The College Hill location will continue to squeeze us out.

No

No

Bad neighborhood. Poor parking. Poor access to facilities for elderly. Children religious education is in a closed basement - not safe. Not enough room to grow the congregation. Social hall is too small for most functions. Kitchen is too small for any functions. Separation between church and social hall is too far to really build the community.

Yes. This building is the past. We need to look for the future.

the size of the social hall. I'd like to see and be a part of having more large gatherings. At this time we need seating in the outdoor space for most events and we are limited to larger events in nice weather only. . With the proposed plan, for extra parking, we would lose that outdoor seating. The outdoor seating does separate people however, for visiting. So We should NOT give this up for added parking. Parking then will still a major problem. I would like to have incense which will only happen if the air quality system is upgraded at proposed expense. Accessible ramp is a must, but still is a challenge with the spacing between the church and social hall, especially for the less agile. I'm concerned that bare minimum will be done if we stay at current location

I support staying if current needs and future growth are addressed during a renovation.

no

I would like to stay in the current location & renovate.

1. Poor parking 2. No space for weddings, funerals, etc. 3. Result will be a hodge-podge adding bad to bad – more issues will crop up. 4. Security is poor, very poor, too many entrances.

Yes, with more apartment housing going up around us, there is no where we can expand. Even if we stay, it will never meet all our needs. Feel it would be a waste of money.

Yes: No matter how much is spent on our existing facility the present and future needs will not come to meeting the needs. growth.

My only concern is outgrowing the neighborhood.

Yes, upgrades needed for: 1) handicap access; 2) HVAC system; 3) upgrade office/accommodations – Kitchen/restrooms; 4) Hall expanded + new kitchen to serve & prepare food; 5) upgrade meeting & classrooms – gathering spaces for prayer education. I am worried about the fire hazards associated with the current building. If those are addressed, staying would be fine.

Much as I love the location and building, in the end we still have a dated church building. What will be the next to repair?

No. The parish/church meets our current needs.

Fire safety needs to be addressed, otherwise no.

(No answer)

The structures need to be updated. Create a more open gathering and educational space as well as a larger area for Mass; new kitchen parking lot can be tight (build parking structure two levels?).

No.

steps.

No – None.

No.

No.

kids.

Yes. Parking- a problem with no easy solution. Space- the presentation had some good options to address this.

Not if it can be upgraded at a reasonable price.

Q2. Do you have concerns for moving to a new location?

Responses

Rushed time frame and having enough money to make the site look like

Yes. Our current church has a lot of ambiance and tradition, with the old brick, wood, etc. I don't want our new church to feel sterile, as some modern Catholic Churches do.

Other than expense, no.

No

Yes! we don't know where it is and it would most likely be out of our range financially. I am concerned about how long it would take to complete and cost overages that we have no control over.

No, this is the best solution for the future

No

yes Unknown problems

hard to answer since I don't know where you are proposing to move.

mainly cost, travel to new location but don't know where it is

No

No

NO

No

No. There will be challenges in raising the funds and working through logistics during construction. But we believe that Fr. Steve and a core group of parishioners can make the project succeed.

only costs. which will cost less?

yes; prefer not to relocate

Being farther from the college students who help serve in some ministries and/or looking for a family parish.

Current cost of land and supplies, availability of locations

Not sure about the ROI. Is it field of dreams? We build it and they come as Al suggested. We aren't an urban area. We have a limited population. We aren't a youth oriented group at the present moment.

Being able to raise the money up front is a concern. I love our church and am concerned about losing some of the features we currently have with new construction.

yes--I will not support moving with any money

Francis has really led us in thinking about reducing our impact on the planet. In this country, we always want the newest and best. If you have lived anywhere else in the world, you would realize this is unique, most places (especially Europe) treasure old buildings. There is little sense of sustaining the gifts that we have been given by previous generations. Places can be sacred, and I think our church has a beauty and character that would not be duplicated now. b.Ireally cannot see a good reason to move. We are not a growing church. I made this argument in the last round, the demographics are not there for expanding in Pullman. In the 20+ years here, I have never seen standing room only in any Easter or Christmas service. The University is a stable employer, but has shrunk considerably over the last 15 years. SEL is growing, but we are not an immigrant Church like in Yakima or the Tri-Cities, which are growing. It is the Hispanic immigration that is keeping the Catholic Church in the US from severe declines in population. The youth demographic is also part of this huge decline, they do not see the value of belonging to a parish community. There is the argument about limited parking, which could be solved by tearing down the office house. Again, to be transparent, I have ridden a bike to church for the last 22 years. But I can sympathize with families and elderly who need that parking to come to church. But as a society we are addicted to the automobile, is there a way to lessen the need for parking? People car pooling? Walking or biking in the summer? Or better yet, rent spaces in the parking lot of UCC or the Methodist Church for the 8:30 mass, which is before their service? They are only 2-4 blocks away, easy for a physically able person to walk. c.There still seems to be a “build it and they will come” mentality with some, which came up before and I heard in the listening session. They say “when we built a new church, attendance took off, and increased”. But they are getting correlation and causation mixed up. In all those situations, the population WAS ALREADY EXPANDING. These were examples from the West Side. Of course, after they built, they got more parishioners, but it was not because they built a new facility, it was because of expanding demographics. I do not think that will happen here.

No

Yes. Parish cannot afford it.

Yes i do.

The biggest concern that I have for moving to a new location is that the current church will be torn down and most likely apartment buildings will be built. I think it would be a loss to the community of Pullman to lose an older building that is a part of Pullman's history and to have it replaced by apartments. College Hill is the oldest part of town and it would be sad to lose more of our history. A concern about moving is the timing between when we would sell the current church to get the funds to purchase the new property and how long would a developer wait and lease the property back to us? With the economy the way it is right now - it is the time to sell however is it the right time to buy or will we be paying more than the actual value of the property and will we get upside down in property values within the next couple of years? This is also not a good time for the cost of construction, availability of construction materials, and interest rates (if we need to take a loan) are going up. If we build will we be asset rich with a new building and at the same time be cash poor? Do we have the monetary resources within the parish to come up with \$6 million dollars all at one time to purchase the property and build the building in a reasonable period of

Costs

I don't understand why the other location is so secret. The presentation was so positive, except that there is this secret. WHY

Yes, many concerns, but most have to do with abandoning a 100-year tradition of critical spiritual, cultural, and social importance to both our own Sacred Heart and the wider College Hill communities.mmunital

It sounds expensive.

Yes

Yes

No

Right now, the difficulty in obtaining the raw materials with which to build. I don't want to see the character of the church change. I think that, with care, the character can be preserved, but it must be intentional. As much of the decorative elements inside the church that can be should be used in the new building.

Loads of debt for years to come, decreasing funds for parish social justice support

Raising the money needed may be hard with the inflation.

Cost

YES. Why move to another location when we have an amazing location that any church in Pullman would like to have? We are privileged, we have history, and it is a lovely church. Also, as we try to live Laudato Si, environmentally hearing that this parish will be most probably demolished should make us think twice of leaving this amazing location. I do not have concerns per se for moving to another location, the problem is where, why, for how much, and is it necessary? Those are huge concerns. If we do, it should be something better. Also, the “hush-hush” behavior throughout the whole process on potential sites for a new church has been a detriment to believe that this is something the Parish wants and needs.

Losing our presence on College Hill and the ability for “kids” to just walk and join in the life of our community concerns me. I know the Newman Center is on campus, but from my own experience as a college kid, I wanted to go to Mass where I could see families and feel like I was “at home.”

Depends where

bummer.

None.

no

No

Yes

Losing the ‘image’ of our church.

Davis Way site: Direct access from Davis Way is not desirable. Is there off-road access, or do we bear the cost?

Yes, but less than staying where we are.

Yes. There is no good reason to move to a new location.

No concerns if we can raise the necessary funding.

It needs to be well thought out and sizeable enough to accommodate the growth of Pullman.

NO

Yes. Cost.

Yes, I love the current church, it will be hard to leave. And the new site may be just as expensive to build.

Yes- more fiscal and whether that decision aligns with our mission.

No

Some, what the funding plan is.

Current location is central, easy access for students. We need more youth in the parish. Will the new location have a central presence? Many students don't like relying on the bus. Will it be able to house the homeless if needed? Are we jumping too soon when property prices are way too high? Do we have enough donors?

Need creative plan, including finances that is realistic.

Not really - like the convenience for us since it's close to the house

It is not as close to the center of town. I know we have not fully taken advantage of our location -- and we need to. We need to have activities in town that point people up the hill -- you can walk to our parish from multiple apartment buildings, etc. The new location is further away from the center of town; it is dependent on selling the current property and building the new within a small window; I also lean toward reusing, rather than buying something new and shiny in relation to most things.

Yes. The new location is a bit far from the heart of Pullman. Accessibility will be an issue especially for those who doesn't have vehicles. (Yes, bus route is available, but Pullman transit bus schedule is not as frequent as we want it to be)

Yes. New location is a bit far and have less bus routes compared to the current one. It will be an issue for parishioner who rely on bus or walking.

Yes

Losing the current central location and having the cost in both time and \$\$ to build from scratch.

Yes

That depends what the proposed location has to offer

yes

Church built.

No

No, we need to grow as a parish community and we can not do it at the current location.

Yes

Yes

No, just that we need to decide sooner rather than later or we will lose this opportunity. This location is probably the best location we will EVER have to move to.

No. We need something that will be with us for the next 100 years of growth.

Where to have mass during the renovation

I believe this is a great idea, especially to a location at the current entrance to town and where significant growth is occurring.

no

I don't want to move.

1. Central location of present church is nice – avoids traffic a bit. 2. Please incorporate elements of the present church so it won't feel so new. Re-use Alter, stations of cross, beams, door, etc.

NO

kitchen & church

1. Agreement. 2. Expense. 3. Centrality of location. 4. Expense seems about even.

No

Yes, the location of current church is central to our ministry of all people – serves a multitude of people including students – “a beacon of light & hope on the hill”.

I don't know that this small community can raise the total cost of a new building at once. Staying here allows for a similar total cost to be raised over a greater period.

It will take time to adjust but could be a real draw for younger families.

No. Future needs would be addressed, depending on parish growth.

Raising sufficient funds.

(No answer)

Away from campus, less accessible to “the people”.

Do not want to move. Access onto the highway will be difficult. Need major cash just to begin move.

Yes. The total cost shown is \$10,195,255. The building to be purchased does to lend itself to the creation of a new Catholic church. The exit from the new church is to a busy highway.

Somewhat, yes. I'd not want the church any less accessible to walkers, for example at the edge of town.

Strongly against it. We have a connection to the current church. I do not see that it is not adequate.

Yes. Too expensive; not needed.

Yes. I have a strong preference for a new site. We have tried to cobble together something for so long ~ we've run out of “do-able” options. We are closed in, in a rundown neighborhood, with limited parking. For the same amount of \$ we can be proactive and try to invite people into our faith community/ I hope to expand our population.

The new location sounds very good, only concern is sentimental attachment to current building.

Not really

This may be an opportunity that doesn't come along very often. We might be wise to take it?

Q3. Do you have a strong preference? If so, please explain.

Responses

I am leaning towards remodeling the current site and using the current office property as extra parking

Yes. Remodeling our current church primarily ties us to the past, and seems to do little to look to the future. I feel tired when I think about it. A new location would be vibrant and look to the future. It's exciting! The new property should be substantially larger, allowing for a much better social gathering space, better flow between the church and other spaces, thereby encouraging community. It should allow for better religious education spaces and perhaps room outdoors to have events and for youth to gather and play. Instead of people rushing off after Mass, they would be more enticed to stay and mingle. Parking at a new location should be ample, and the church should be easily accessible from the parking spaces. Ideally the new location would have room for future additions/buildings should such be desirable.

No. God will be present at either location.

Yes - We need an updated facility

I would prefer staying in our present location and remodeling. I'm not sure we have the numbers to support building a new facility. Until I know the location and plans I can't support that option.

Yes, I prefer the location on Davis Way as the best solution to the whole series of problems

Current location

(No answer)

I would prefer that the parish stay in a place that is fairly central so that some people can walk and those who drive, don't have to drive too far. For example, building on airport road would not fulfill those requirements.

i can live with either way, slightly favor new location

(No answer)

Would prefer a new location as it provides more room for growth/address accessibility issues.

Would rather stay in the present location as it is possible to bring it up to code.

I will support either approach. Staying in the present location just prolongs existing nontrivial problems that we've all worked around for many many years. Major problems include insufficient parking, church that is presently too small without costly integration of the annex, insufficient space for social gathering and collaboration, and generally a poor and awkward campus location for a residential church. WSU already has a beautiful existing church that is optimally suited for college students. Pullman needs a more optimally located Catholic Church that will attract and accommodate new residential growth and better serve our community well into future generations. Also, I believe we will see a much stronger/surprising outpouring of donations contributed toward a new location/facility vs remodeling the existing church. This topic may be a worthwhile exploratory question on future surveys.

Yes. Move. Our future is at a new location that allows for us to grow and become an increasingly vibrant Catholic community. We have maximized the use of the current site for almost 100 years. There is no real room to grow that allows us to flourish.

Keep costs down. WHO is to pay for it?

yes; strongly prefer current location

There is a long Catholic history on college hill, and it would be sad for that to come to an end.

No strong preference either way

I would stay and improve the building incrementally as the money comes available. I don't believe we could raise a huge amount of money in a short period of time to build anew. We have a lovely facility with character. I'd be inclined to stay where we are.

I really feel like moving to a new location is a better option. We will be able to address all of the space and safety concerns that we have with the current building and site. We will be able to make gathering spaces like the social hall large enough to accommodate a growing population in a more timely fashion than it will in a phased remodel. With St. Thomas More being so near campus and with their continued growth, our parish does not need to be on College Hill. We serve a different clientele than St. Tommie's does.

It houses many memories-in Europe they revere old churches.

3. I have a strong preference for staying in our existing location, and renovating the church, building a new office wing, and tearing down the Office house to have more parking. This will allow us to incrementally accomplish these things. If we do a big capital campaign, and only get 2 million, we can do the things on the high priority list. A few other comments about staying. One of the arguments for moving is the neighborhood is deteriorating. This flashes a red light for me. The same argument was made for white flight to the suburbs- we don't want to be around "them", in this case the students. The feeling was stronger with the previous priest, listening to some of the discussions with him, you would think we are on the South Side of Chicago. But Fr. Steve recognizes that we have a mission to the students around us, and was making good progress before COVID hits. Proximity to the University is also important, although we have St. Thomas, we still have lots of faculty who may walk to the campus or could even park in the parking lot and walk to save paying huge parking fees. The other argument has to do with mission. People say- if we had a new facility, we could do more outreach to the homeless, the community, etc. The only advantage I would see is we could physically host Family Promise, that would be good. But having spent the last 22 years working with social justice in the parish, it has been frustrating that only a few of the same people are doing all the ministry. People may talk a good talk, but I don't think building a new facility will increase our mission outreach. One more thing- it is the people that make the dynamics and Spirit of the parish, not the physical plant. I have been in storefront churches that were very dynamic. And also in places with a beautiful physical plant that were pretty dead in the Spirit. My caveat in all this is that I do not have children, so I think we need to think of the future generations. Which is why I would put a priority on better classroom and meeting space and a larger social hall, which can be done in the present location. Another concern with the transition is the cost and length of time to build something new, and if the buyer of our property did not want to lease back to us, we would be without a home for a few years.

No strong preference

Current church is a heritage site. Can't be destroyed.

Just for something new when what we have is "fixable", crazy.

I don't have a strong preference. I think we do need to move forward with either repairs on the current facility or in purchasing and building a new facility. However, I would lean towards staying in the current facility, raising money over time, and start making the needed improvements. Maybe as improvements are being made and folks see the benefits, changes, and improvements are made - we maybe more willing to donate more money to keep the progress moving forward! I like our current church. I have been coming to Sacred Heart since I was a kid and would come to Pullman to visit family and then I made the decision to come to Sacred Heart vs. St. Thomas Moore when I was in college at WSU - so this church has been in my life for a long time and I would like to see if we could make the needed improvements and keep the church here for many more years to come.

Move to a new location or address maintenance/safety. Time for a campaign to fund these.

I have a strong preference for the current location. "Why is the other place a secret! That secret negatively colors this building discussion/presentation.

Yes. I strongly prefer staying in our present location in order to do what I have suggested above as well as to our implicit obligation to spend money in careful, thoughtful, and useful ways.

I want to move to a new location so we can make significant improvements to accessibility.

Stay at same location

Prefer remaining in current location. The cost to build a new church would be more than our congregation can afford.

The new building will be better for many reasons: more space.

No, we need to do something so I would support either option.

current location

Yes, we think it is time to look at the future needs. Hope the new church can be as warm and welcoming as the current church.

New building at new location. I received all sacraments at the current Sacred Heart. Time for new.

My preference it to stay in the current location, fix the issues that we know we have and move on. The sooner the better. If nothing is done, we will face deeper problems.

I was leaning towards staying and improving the existing property, but now that I know where the property is, I am excited about the opportunity to increase our gathering space, have a little pavement for the youth to shoot hoops and space for parking. One of the earlier properties we considered was way out from the center of town. This location would give lots of visibility and welcome those entering Pullman on a major thoroughfare.

No

I lean toward a move. I think the new site has way more potential for youth and child ministry and if the church is going to continue I think we need to be focused on the young people of the church and how we can best serve them.

Move. See #1

moving allows for further expansion

no. i see advantages and disadvantages to either option

No

New location

No. My concern is that we can afford either option.

Yes, new location is my preference.

Stay at the current location.

I am heartily in favor of a move to a new location. We need to increase our presence in the Pullman community by having a parish site that folks can easily access and be able to park. We need to have a bigger social hall and bigger kitchen. Parish priest and staff need a safe place to work.

We should relocate. It is the only way to accommodate the future needs of our spiritual community and leaders.

great potential at new site

Yes. Move if we can raise the funds needed.

No

I see the benefits and challenges for both options. However, I still do not believe enough information has been provided.

College Hill.

If the parish is going to expand and meet new challenges we need a new/ newer facility like the one being purposed.

I like the central location and proximity to college students, although not fond of the beer pong tables and loud music.

While it maybe necessary to stay at location, funds should be prioritized for a move.

No

I am at about 80% improve the current building -- but put real effort into it and start taking advantage of the location.

church building. Additionally, I think the current location can still accommodate the number of parishioners who want to celebrate mass at the church.

Yes. I prefer the current building since I think it can be considered as Pullman historical site. With some renovations, I think the current location is more than enough for the current parishioners

I think we should stay put until our national recession has ended.

Prefer the existing location with reasonable amount of rehab.

It is not about preference, but about cost.

I like the present location

The financial uncertainty associated with moving to a new site is so great makes that choice untenable at this point.

I definitely prefer building in a new location. I am a 21 year former employee and the biggest complaint we staff received was about problems with the parking lot and related problems. People would give up coming to Mass, Religious Education classes, or any event if they couldn't get a spot. Also, again there is not enough room in the Social Hall & kitchen to properly host events of any size. The latest event (Theresa's retirement), I personally had to ask people to get up from their seats so another wave of people could sit down to eat. That is not welcoming! All of them left and did not stay and visit! I could mention many similar instances, and show you many parts of the building that would show the urgency of this situation. Definitely, we need a new location and new facilities!

Moving to a new location because our current one I feel in structurally unsafe, in a location that is not family friendly being off Greek Row, and is just too small

Yes. We need to move to grow. Putting millions of dollars into an aging building with too many unknowns to fix is a waste of time. If we stay, the issues will never be fully fixed. A new pastor will come in with a new focus and will push back any fixes. Then when we start to look at addressing the problems again, we will lose that pastor and get another new one. This will continue as it has for the past several decades and nothing will ever be truly accomplished until the current building is condemned.

I am concerned with the risk of financing the new build vs. renovations which can be done over time.

Yes, I would prefer to stay in the existing building and renovate as funds and work plans become available. There is a lot of risk in selling and building in Pullman. The current building is beautiful old architecture that is near impossible to replicate.

functions.

Yes. We need to build on the new property.

Growth means a larger kitchen and larger social hall where all attending can be in one space. Cooking can be done in the kitchen as a social activity with volunteers for many more events. It would be great to have one day a month for making soup or such that could be delivered by meals on wheels or available for community building events. We could cook indoor for parish events instead of outdoor grilling and pot lucks. I feel that 50% larger kitchen in our current church would not even provide enough space. Having to pass into the social hall area to leave would promote more community interaction

Move!!! Modern building, bigger site plan, location (location, location), needs met for growth

Moving to a new location, it would be more worth it instead of spending the same amount to just fix up the current church

Yes – No move.

Prefer a new site, but a traditional feel. 1.Better parking. 2.Bigger, more connected space. 3.Cohesive design. 4. Better security. 5.Better disabled access. 6.Room for growth, new ministries. 7.No steps 8.Ease of use improves

future.

Yes: When looking at costs to rebuild at the present location where not all our important items are addressed and compared to the new location, with costs are basically the same, the new is a better option. We should take advantage of this opportunity which would be unlikely to happen again in Pullman.

1.Prefer move. 2. Opens new doors to serve the poor and destitute – some doors are closed in present locations. 3. By moving, we reopen the doors to diversity and inclusion. 4. Draw for Spanish American community – may be include a grotto to Mary 5. Energy created to replace complacency.

No

Yes, would prefer to stay put and get current facility upgraded. Reasoning can be expanded if needed.

No. I have a slight preference to stay here, as it would be easier and would maintain our presence on College Hill.

No strong preference. I guess I lean toward moving, but do like the incremental improvements approach for the present building.

Many ties to existing church and fond memories. Open to new parish/church and opportunities it would provide.

No, mild preference to stay in current location.

(No answer)

I would love to see the plans for staying put. I would also like to know the location of moving; creates other issues.

Current census numbers are less than 1977-1990's. The cost for new location (\$10,195,255) is much greater than improvements to current location (\$2,051,000).

Yes. Keep current church doing required improvements on basis of need. Contracting some required work should be lower than shown if contract with a contractor.

I prefer the current location. It is accessible to students on campus by foot even + (? Has the ground been blessed)?

Do you have a strong preference? If so, please explain.

Yes, to fix the church we already have. Three reasons: Laudato Si; we should be using the earth's materials to build a new church when we already have a solid church building. The church needs to be located where the people – students are. If our church family gets too big, we'll lose our sense of community

Yes. I have a strong preference for a new site. We have tried to cobble together something for so long ~ we've run out of "do-able" options. We are closed in, in a rundown neighborhood, with limited parking. For the same amount of \$ we can be proactive and try to invite people into our faith community/ I hope to expand our population.

If the parking and space issues can be addressed I would prefer to stay at the current location. I feel we are a plus to the college hill neighborhood.

No

Q4. Are you willing to support either option?

Responses

Yes

Yes, but not with the same degree of enthusiasm and most likely not with the same amount of money.

Yes.

no - We need to move

I am willing to support either option after I have all the necessary information.

No

Yes

To some degree.

yes

yes

Yes

Yes

YES

Absolutely

We are not enthused about investing in the current site because we see it as a bad investment. We are out of space. We cannot fix parking. The church is almost 100 years old; nothing lasts forever, and it is time for us to pay it forward for future generations, just like parishioners did for us 90 years ago.

yes

not really

Ultimately, yes.

Yes

Absolutely. It's about the mission, not about the meeting place.

Yes

I will not support a move or a new church

4. I would support renovating and building in our present location, not to build at a new location.

Yes

No, only maintaining the current church.

No, just staying where we are.

I agree with Father Steve - we do need to come together as a community for this project to be successful. I would be willing to support the decision that is made. I hope we will work through all of the questions and concerns and see how issues could be addressed instead of assuming that a problem cannot be solved. We have many talented people in our parish and with all of us coming together to openly discuss issues - I believe that we can find the best answers for the current needs and future needs of our parish. Thank you for asking these questions and allowing folks to share their thoughts!

Yes.

No, as I don't know what the other location is. I don't see how the other location is the same cost as staying on Ash. The way it sounds, the other location also needs much work and \$\$\$ to make it a church. We already have a church on Ash, which we need to upgrade. The information I learned tonight makes me think it is the best option,

Not right now. I feel strongly that we should remain at our present location, but if there were an overwhelming support to move and sufficient funds to implement it, I might be persuaded to add my support. But it would be a significant hill for me to climb.

Yes, but only because such a clear plan was presented on what it would take to rebuild in our current location.

I will not support moving to a new location

If the majority wish to build a new church I would support it.

Yes

Yes.

opposed to relocation

Yes.

Willing to support a sustainable financial plan.

NO. I will only support fixing/remodeling current church at this point a.Office house: tear down and build a wonderful place with offices and meeting rooms. Face Ash St. b.Disability ramp needs to be built ASAP c.Create a parking lot for at least 10 cars behind new building. d.Add disability parking spaces on Maple St. next to the church (Grants from ADA might be available). e.Make all the needed changes per inspector's report: basement classrooms, bathrooms updates, elevator if needed. I will not support option of a new building unless it is a significantly better location, it is cheaper than updating, and the process is an honest one. Since there has been misinformation spread around, I will only support the renovation and not a new building.

I am willing to support either option.

Yes

Absolutely.

Yes.

yes

either option.

Yes

Absolutely

Yes. All in.

Yes, more so than with the old location.

No. The current location is fine.

Yes, but I would reluctantly support staying in the current location. This is the time to take advantage of the opportunity we have to obtain the new property in a good location. The parish that we were members of prior to moving to Pullman went through a move from a small closed in site to a bigger property and building of a new church. Indeed, there was clearly intense division regarding the decision, but once we got moved into the new facilities there were so many more opportunities for growth and community impact, and folks were very thankful and excited that the change occurred!

We would support either option, but would be more supportive of the new location

yes

Yes on moving to new location. No on staying in place except for necessary repairs/renovation.

Yes

I am not sure I would consider supporting a new location. This endeavor seems ambitious and I am not certain it would be fiscally responsible. If a yearly budget was presented I would be able to make a more educated decision. However, I also think staying in the current building will be difficult to raise money for renovations. Putting all fiscal aspects aside I think I would like to see an analysis of the footprint for both options. Short term and long term to provide further facts as to how each decision aligns with Ladato Si.

Yes. We all need to be on the same team as a parish community

Yes which ever way the parish decides to do.

yes

Will need to see plan before supporting either. Bar very high to support major investment in current sight.

Yes

Yes

Yes.

Yes.

Yes!

Churches are facilities which are used for only a small number of hours per week relative to the space occupied. They become monuments rather than functional facilities. The functionality needs to be studied and maximized rather than the architectural monumental feature.

I would be unhappy incurring such a debt that the youngsters will have to bear for many years.

yes

At this point the only viable option is to begin addressing current issues associated with the current structure(s).

Yes, I will support either option because I am a member of this Church since I arrived in 1983. It is 'the people's Church' OUR and we need to prepare the structure for the future generations of people.

No

No. We need to move, otherwise nothing will ever change and we will never grow as a parish. The students are part of the WSU Neuman Center's community, that is not a reason to stay on College Hill.

Emotionally, yes. However financially I have concerns with financing a new build. I will donate to support renovations.

Yes

We need to move or nothing will ever change. We will continue to only address the largest problems with minor fixes and never address the systemic failures until it is too late.

I am only willing to pay for the new location. It is a waste of time and money to put money into the existing buildings that have never worked well for our community.

Yes

Of course. I recognize that some folks may have a historical attachment to place (building and location). we will continue and support either plan.

yes

No.

Yes, but do it NOW before it gets even more expensive! Price has doubled since the issue started. Buy now, resell if necessary.

NO

No: I feel strongly that we should take advantage of this opportunity with a new build.

Yes, but think staying is not an option unless we do all repairs and upgrades immediately – if we drag it out the needed changes will get lost in the years, and we will fight the same battle we now face.

Yes!

Yes, whichever should include frequent updates to parishioners as inclusive as possible.

Yes.

Yes. We need to be united in this endeavor.

Yes.

Yes.

Yes, I would.

Of course.

No – against move

No- I will contribute money needed to renovate the current location.

Yes.

Repair

I will more likely support the option to renovate the church we have at its current location.

Yes. I will support the parish.

Yes.

Yes